

Remarks

This Reply is in response to the Office Action mailed June 2, 2009.

I. Summary of Examiner's Objections and/or Rejections

Prior to the Office Action mailed June 2, 2009, Claims 1, 3-11 and 21-32 were pending.

In the Office Action, Claims 21 and 22 were allowed. Claims 1, 3-11 and 23-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The Office Action also indicated that “[t]he claims would be allowable if the 101 rejection is overcome.

II. Summary of Applicants' Amendments

The present Reply amends Claims 1 and 23-25, all as shown above. Applicants respectfully reserve the right to prosecute any originally presented or canceled claims in a continuing or future application.

III. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

Independent Claims 1 and 23-25 have been amended to overcome this rejection. Specifically, those independent claims, as amended, indicate that the method is a computer implemented method. The claims also recite that the method steps are done by at least one processor. It is, therefore, respectfully submitted that independent Claims 1 and 23-25, and all claims that depend up these claims, satisfy the requirements of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 31, 2009

By: /Rex Hwang/
Rex Hwang
Reg. No. 56,206

Customer No. 23910
FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800